Primitive-based surface reconstruction

Florent Lafarge
Inria Sophia Antipolis - Mediterranee

- Geometric primitive extraction
  - Region growing
  - Ransac
  - Accumulation methods
  - Global regularities

- Surface reconstruction using geometric primitives
- Two words on template matching

Why Geometric primitives can be interesting for surface reconstruction ?





High complexity

No structure

Smooth recontruction

Why Geometric primitives can be interesting for surface reconstruction ?



Why Geometric primitives can be interesting for surface reconstruction ?



→



Why Geometric primitives can be interesting for surface reconstruction ?



→



low complexity

structure

How to extract Geometric primitives from point sets ?





Region growing



- Iterative method
- Spatial propagation of a primitive

Hypothesis

- deterministic
- Efficient for relatively “clean” Data

Region growing

- select a point and a primitive hypothesis



Region growing

- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis



Region growing



- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis, and iterate until no point verifies the hypothesis anymore.

Region growing



- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis, and iterate until no point verifies the hypothesis anymore.

Region growing



- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis, and iterate until no point verifies the hypothesis anymore.

Region growing



- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis, and iterate until no point verifies the hypothesis anymore.

Region growing



- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis, and iterate until no point verifies the hypothesis anymore.
- select a remaining point and a primitive Hypothesis, and iterate

Region growing



- select a point and a primitive hypothesis
- propagate to the neighbors if they verify the hypothesis, and iterate until no point verifies the hypothesis anymore.
- select a remaining point and a primitive Hypothesis, and iterate

the parameters to specify

- minimum number of points needed to fit the primitive
- Distance threshold

Source: M. Pollefeys

Region growing

- need to know the nearest neighbors
- the primitive hypothesis has to be relevant when starting the growing
- .. but the primitive hypothesis can also be updated during the growing
- not optimal when noisy data

Region growing



using Euclidian distance



using normals



using normals and Euclidian distance


minimal primitive size
5000
500
50
20 planes
28% occ.
52 sec.
31 planes
37% occ.
58 sec.
46 planes
73% occ.
52 sec.
188 planes
50% occ.
11 sec.
392 planes
72% occ.
12 sec.
227 planes
90% occ.
8 sec.
3261 planes
81% occ.
3 sec.
2607 planes
94% occ.
2 sec.
776 planes
96% occ.
2 sec.
0.01
0.1
1
fitting tolerance

Ransac (RANdom SAmple Consensus)

- Iterative method
- Estimation of the primitive parameters by a random sampling of data
- Designed to be efficient with outlier-laden Data
- Non-deterministic

[Fischler & Bolles]

Ransac Algorithm



- Sample (randomly) the number of points required to fit the primitive

- Solve for primitive parameters using samples

- Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the primitive

Repeat these 3 steps until the best primitive is found with high confidence

[Fischler & Bolles]

Ransac Algorithm



- Sample (randomly) the number of points required to fit the primitive

- Solve for primitive parameters using samples

- Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the primitive

Repeat these 3 steps until the best primitive is found with high confidence

[Fischler & Bolles]

Illustration by Savarese

Ransac Algorithm



- Sample (randomly) the number of points required to fit the primitive

- Solve for primitive parameters using samples

- Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the primitive

Repeat these 3 steps until the best primitive is found with high confidence

[Fischler & Bolles]

Ransac Algorithm



- Sample (randomly) the number of points required to fit the primitive

- Solve for primitive parameters using samples

$$N_I = 6$$

- Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the primitive

Repeat these 3 steps until the best primitive is found with high confidence

[Fischler & Bolles]

Ransac Algorithm

- Sample (randomly) the number of points required to fit the primitive



- Solve for primitive parameters using samples

$N_I = 14$

- Score by the fraction of inliers within a preset threshold of the primitive

Repeat these 3 steps until the best primitive is found with high confidence

[Fischler & Bolles]

the parameters to specify

- minimum number of points needed to fit the primitive
- Distance threshold δ
- Number of samples

To be chosen so that at least one random sample is free from outliers with a certain probability

Accumulation methods

- Accumulate local primitive hypotheses in a space of primitive parameters

- extract the local maxima from the parameter space

- the parameter space must be discretized

Accumulation methods: Hough transform

Case of lines in 2D



(x,y) space





parameter space

[Hough, 1959]

Accumulation methods: Hough transform







[Hough, 1959]

Accumulation methods: Gaussian sphere





For each point of the data, we increment the sphere cell targeted by the point normal from the sphere center

Accumulation methods: Gaussian sphere



For each point of the data, we increment the sphere cell targeted by the point normal from the sphere center



Accumulation methods: Gaussian sphere



An accumulation of points in the Gaussian sphere
Allows the detection of one or several planes with a similar orientation

Accumulation methods: Gaussian sphere



An accumulation of points along a circle in the Gaussian sphere allows the detection of one or several cylinders with a similar orientation

Accumulation methods

- can be computationally expensive
- restricted to certain types of primitives
- can be interesting for “structuring” the primitive configuration with global regularities

Global regularity discovering



[Li et al., 2011]

Global regularity discovering

- usually primitives are detected locally, without interaction between each others

- It can be usefull to introduce interactions between primitives at a global scale



[Li et al., 2011]

Global regularity discovering [Globfit]



[Li et al., 2011]

Global regularity discovering [Globfit]



[Li et al., 2011]

- Geometric primitive extraction
- Surface reconstruction using geometric primitives
  - Graph-based
  - Space partitioning
  - Hybrid reconstruction
- Two words on template matching

Surface reconstruction from geometric primitives

Q: What can we do once we have extracted the primitives ?

A1: compute the primitive adjacency graph, and reconstruct the surface as the dual of this graph.



A1: compute the primitive adjacency graph, and reconstruct the surface as the dual of this graph.



If you are lucky..

A1: compute the primitive adjacency graph, and reconstruct the surface as the dual of this graph.



Ideal case: this never happens in practice

- No guarantee of finding the right primitive configuration and right adjacency graph



- No guarantee that the observed scene can be entirely explained by geometric primitives

- A2: Use primitives to partition the space into cells to be labeled as inside or outside



[Labatut et al., 2009]

- works well when no missing primitive



[Labatut et al., 2009]

- when primitives are missed or cannot be detected, use of ghost primitives



[Chauve et al., 2010]

- when primitives are missed or cannot be detected, use of ghost primitives



[Chauve et al., 2010]

- when primitives are missed or cannot be detected, use of ghost primitives



[Chauve et al., 2010]







[Chauve et al., 2010]

- A3: reconstruct an hybrid surface as a combination of canonical parts idealizing the primitives and free-form parts representing the smooth or undetected canonical elements



Hybrid reconstruction by structuring

Starting from a point set and a configuration of planar primitives extracted under a tolerance ε



- ✓ 2-manifold
- ✓ watertight
- ✓ intersection-free

[Lafarge et Alliez]

- **3 ideas**



[Lafarge et Alliez]

- **3 ideas**
  - Meaning insertion



[Lafarge et Alliez]

- **3 ideas**
  - Meaning insertion
  - Structure idealization under Delaunay triangulation



[Lafarge et Alliez]

- **3 ideas**
  - Meaning insertion
  - Structure idealization under Delaunay triangulation
  - Complexity reduction



[Lafarge et Alliez]

Replacement of the inliers by an *ideal* layout of planar points

- Occupancy 2D-grid projected on the planar primitive



Replacement of the inliers by an *ideal* layout of planar points

- Occupancy 2D-grid projected on the planar primitive
- Facet existence condition in Delaunay: $L_p < \sqrt{2}\,\varepsilon$



[Lafarge et Alliez]

Preservation of edges between adjacent primitives

- Occupancy 1D-grid projected on the intersection line
- Facet existence condition in Delaunay:

$$\begin{cases} L_c = 2\varepsilon \\ h_c = \varepsilon \times \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{cases}$$





[Lafarge et Alliez]

- ***Corner* points**

added by detecting the potential n-cycles extracted from the detected 3-cycles from the primitive

- ***Clutter* points**

correspond to the input points which have not been detected as belonging to planar primitives



[Lafarge et Alliez]

Space partitioning: 3D delaunay triangulation from the structured point set

- tetrahedra do not intersect the primitive-induced surfaces

- each vertex of the triangulation inherits from a structural type





[Lafarge et Alliez]

Labeling the Delaunay cells

- a graph $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{F})$
  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1,\ldots,c_n\}$ the set of Delaunay cells
  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1,\ldots,f_m\}$ the set of triangular facets separating two cells

- a cut ($\mathcal{C}_{in}$,$\mathcal{C}_{out}$) in the graph
  The set of facets separating $\mathcal{C}_{in}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{out}$ forms a surface $\mathcal{S}$

- a cost function C measuring the quality of a cut

$$C(\mathcal{S}) = \underbrace{\sum_{f_i \in \mathcal{S}} a(f_i)\, Q(f_i)}_{\text{Geometric quality}} + \underbrace{\sum_{c_k \in \mathcal{C}_{in}} P_{out}(c_k) + \sum_{c_k \in \mathcal{C}_{out}} P_{in}(c_k)}_{\text{Visibility prediction}}$$

- an optimization algorithm for finding the optimal cut [Boykov2004]

Visibility prediction

- detection of visibility patches by ray shooting
- *inside/outside* prediction of Delaunay cells crossed by a ray

$$\begin{cases} P_{out}(c_k) = \beta \cdot 1_{\{c_k \in \mathcal{P}_{out}\}} \\ P_{in}(c_k) = \beta \cdot 1_{\{c_k \in \mathcal{P}_{in}\}} \end{cases}$$



[Lafarge et Alliez]

Visibility prediction

- detection of visibi
- *inside/outside* pre

ray





[Lafarge et Alliez]

Geometric quality

- S-coherent facets
Plausible facets as a portion of a canonical part

- FF-coherent facets
Plausible facets as a portion of a freeform shape.

- Incoherent facets
all the remaining cases

$$Q(f_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f_i \textit{ S-coherent} \\ g(f_i) & \text{if } f_i \textit{ FF-coherent} \\ \gamma & \text{if } f_i \textit{ incoherent} \end{cases}$$







[Lafarge et Alliez]

Surface simplification: edge-collapse exploiting the structural meaning of vertices

- canonical parts edge length cost to edges linking identical *planar* or *crease* vertices

- free-form parts
Keep unchanged



[Lafarge et Alliez]

Hybrid vs smooth



[Lafarge et Alliez]



[Lafarge et Alliez]



[Lafarge et Alliez]



[Lafarge et Alliez]







[Lafarge et Alliez]



[Lafarge et Alliez]

- Geometric primitive extraction
- Surface reconstruction using geometric primitives
- Two words on template matching

Template matching

- Geometric primitives are usually simple, eg planes or cylinders
- But sometimes, we need to fit complex primitives to the data..



?



Problems

- Do we search for one or several objects in the data ?
- If several, do we know the number of objects?
- Can objects interact between each others ?



?





?



- Here, we don’t know the number of objects and interactions must be inserted (spatial overlapping, tree competition..)
- .. this is not surface reconstruction anymore





[Verdie et al.]