Voronoi diagrams Delaunay Triangulations

Pierre Alliez Inria





Let $\mathcal{E} = {\mathbf{p_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{p_n}}$ be a set of points (so-called sites) in \mathbb{R}^d . We associate to each site $\mathbf{p_i}$ its Voronoi region $V(\mathbf{p_i})$ such that:

$$V(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}\| \le \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{j}}\|, \forall j \le n \}.$$



•The collection of the non-empty Voronoi regions and their faces, together with their incidence relations, constitute a cell complex called the **Voronoi diagram** of E.

• The locus of points which are equidistant to two sites pi and pj is called a **bisector**, all bisectors being affine subspaces of IR^d (lines in 2D).





•A Voronoi cell of a site *pi* defined as the intersection of closed half-spaces bounded by bisectors. Implies: All Voronoi cells are **convex**.





•Voronoi cells may be **unbounded** with unbounded bisectors. Happens when a site pi is on the boundary of the convex hull of E.





• Voronoi cells have faces of different dimensions.

• In 2D, a face of dimension k is the intersection of 3 - k Voronoi cells. A Voronoi vertex is generically equidistant from three points, and a Voronoi edge is equidistant from two points.





• Dual structure of the Voronoi diagram.

• The Delaunay triangulation of a set of sites E is a simplicial complex such that k+1 points in E form a Delaunay simplex if their Voronoi cells have nonempty intersection





• The Delaunay triangulation of a point set E covers the convex hull of E.



canonical triangulation associated to any point set





Delaunay Triangulation: Local Property

• Empty circle: A triangulation T of a point set E such that any dsimplex of T has a circumsphere that does not enclose any point of E is a Delaunay triangulation of E. Conversely, any k-simplex with vertices in E that can be circumscribed by a hypersphere that does not enclose any point of E is a face of the Delaunay triangulation of E.





In 2D: « quality » triangulation

- Smallest triangle angle: The Delaunay triangulation of a point set E is the triangulation of E which maximizes the smallest angle.
- Even stronger: The triangulation of E whose **angular vector** is **maximal** for the lexicographic order is the Delaunay triangulation of E.



•Thales' Theorem: Let C be a circle, and l a line intersecting C at points a and b. Let p, q, r and s be points lying on the same side of l, where p and q are on C, r inside C and s outside C. Then:



$$\angle arb = 2 \angle apb$$

 $\angle aab > \angle asb$

Improving a triangulation:

• In any convex quadrangle, an *edge flip* is possible. If this flip *improves* the triangulation locally, it also improves the global triangulation.

• If an edge flip improves the triangulation, the first edge is called **illegal**.

Illegal edges:

•Lemma: An edge *pq* is illegal iff one of its opposite vertices is inside the circle defined by the other three vertices.

• **Proof:** By Thales' theorem.

•**Theorem:** A Delaunay triangulation does not contain illegal edges.

ρ

•**Corollary:** A triangle is Delaunay iff the circle through its vertices is empty of other sites (the *empty-circle* condition).

•Corollary: The Delaunay triangulation is not unique if more than three sites are co-circular.

• **Duality on the paraboloid:** Delaunay triangulation obtained by projecting the lower part of the convex hull.





Proof

•The intersection of a plane with the paraboloid is an ellipse whose projection to the plane is a circle.

• *s* lies within the circumcircle of *p*, *q*, *r* iff *s*' lies on the lower side of the plane passing through *p*', *q*', *r*'.

• $p, q, r \in S$ form a Delaunay triangle iff p', q', r' form a face of the convex hull of S'.



•Given a set S of points in the plane, associate with each point $p=(a,b)\in S$ the plane tangent to the paraboloid at p:

z = 2ax+2by-(a2+b2).

•VD(S) is the projection to the (x,y) plane of the 1-skeleton of the convex polyhedron formed from the intersection of the halfspaces above these planes.



Naïve O(n⁴) Construction Algorithm?



Naïve O(n⁴) Construction Algorithm

•Repeat until impossible:

- Select a triple of sites.
- If the circle through them is empty of other sites, keep the triangle whose vertices are the triple.

The In-Circle Test

Theorem: If *a*,*b*,*c*,*d* form a CCW convex polygon, then *d* lies in the circle determined by *a*, *b* and *c* iff:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_x & a_y & a_x^2 + a_y^2 & 1 \\ b_x & b_y & b_x^2 + b_y^2 & 1 \\ c_x & c_y & c_x^2 + c_y^2 & 1 \\ d_x & d_y & d_x^2 + d_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

Proof: We prove that equality holds if the points are co-circular. There exists a center q and radius r such that:

$$(a_{x} - q_{x})^{2} + (a_{y} - q_{y})^{2} = r^{2}$$

and similarly for *b*, *c*, *d*:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_x^2 + a_y^2 \\ b_x^2 + b_y^2 \\ c_x^2 + c_y^2 \\ d_x^2 + d_y^2 \end{pmatrix} - 2q_x \begin{pmatrix} a_x \\ b_x \\ c_x \\ c_x \\ d_x \end{pmatrix} - 2q_y \begin{pmatrix} a_y \\ b_y \\ c_y \\ d_y \end{pmatrix} + (q_x^2 + q_y^2 - r^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

So these four vectors are linearly dependent, hence their det vanishes.

Corollary: $d \in \text{circle}(a,b,c)$ iff $b \in \text{circle}(c,d,a)$ iff $c \notin \text{circle}(d,a,b)$ iff $a \notin \text{circle}(b,c,d)$

Another naive construction:

•Start with an arbitrary triangulation. Flip any illegal edge until no more exist.

- •Requires proof that there are no local minima.
- Could take a long time to terminate.



O(nlogn) Delaunay Triangulation Algorithm

Incremental algorithm:

•Form bounding triangle which encloses all the sites.

•Add the sites one after another in random order and update triangulation.

If the site is inside an existing triangle:

- Connect site to triangle vertices.
- Check if a 'flip' can be performed on one of the triangle edges. If so - check recursively the neighboring edges.

If the site is on an existing edge:

- Replace edge with four new edges.
- Check if a 'flip' can be performed on one of the opposite edges. If so - check recursively the neighboring edges.



Flipping Edges

•A new vertex p_r is added, causing the creation of edges.

•The legality of the edge $p_i p_j$ (with opposite vertex) p_k is checked.

 p_r

 p_i

• If $p_i p_j$ is illegal, perform a flip, and recursively check edges $p_i p_k$ and $p_j p_k$, the new edges opposite p_r .

•Notice that the recursive call for $p_i p_k$ cannot eliminate the edge $p_r p_k$.

•Note: All edge flips replace edges opposite the new vertex by edges incident to it!

Flipping Edges - Example



Number of Flips

•**Theorem:** The expected number of edges flips made in the course of the algorithm (some of which also disappear later) is at most 6*n*.

• **Proof:** During insertion of vertex p_i , k_i new edges are created: 3 new initial edges, and k_i -3 due to flips.

Backward analysis: $E[k_i] =$ the expected degree of p_i after the insertion is complete = 6 (Euler).

Algorithm Complexity

- Point location for every point: O(log n) time.
- Flips: $\Theta(n)$ expected time in total (for all steps).
- Total expected time: O(n log n).
- •Space: $\Theta(n)$.









• Definition 1 : Let (P, S) be a PSLG. The constrained triangulation T(P, S) is constrained Delaunay iff the circumcircle of any triangle t of T encloses no vertex visible from a point in the relative interior of t.



• **Definition 2** : Let (P, S) be a PSLG. The constrained triangulation T(P, S) is constrained Delaunay iff any edge e of T is either a segment of S or is constrained Delaunay.

•Simplex e constrained Delaunay with respect to the PSLG (P, S) iff: int(e) \cap S = 0

•There exists a circumcircle of e that encloses no vertex visible from a point in the relative interior of e.



•Any PSLG (P, S) has a constrained Delaunay triangulation. If (P, S) has no degeneracy, this triangulation is unique.



[Shewchuk, Si]