

Beyond the deadline:

New interfaces between control and scheduling for the design and analysis of critical embedded systems – Tutorial introduction

Rolf Ernst, TU Braunschweig, 15.10.2017

Designing with deadlines

- deadlines are specifications for system execution
- many purposes
 - system timing constrain function delays
 - scheduling control e.g. EDF
 - data consistency avoid data loss due to overwriting or early reading,
 - LET based design
 - memory usage e.g. avoid stack overflow due to overlapping job executions
- deadlines guarantees are expensive
 - requires worst case design

Deadlines revisited

- move beyond worst case design by allowing designs with controlled deadline misses
 - "less-than-worst-case" design
- the effect of a deadline miss depends on the deadline purpose
 - function delay constraint \rightarrow modified system function
 - data consistency \rightarrow data loss or data corruption
 - $\hfill \ensuremath{\,\bullet\)}$ memory bounds $\rightarrow \ensuremath{\,\bullet\)}$ exceptions or system crash
- these effects must be modeled in the application

Modeling deadline misses

- probabilistic models
 - usually strong assumptions random variables, independence
 - extensions for more general models (e.g. copulas)
- models for soft real-time systems
 - quantification of "soft" deadline overrun \rightarrow optimization problem
- weakly-hard real-time systems
 - bounds the tolerated number of deadline misses
 - defined as a window function
 - at most m deadline misses out of k executions are tolerated
- these models require transition from single worst case to worst case execution traces

This tutorial – weakly-hard real-time systems

- straightforward extension of established deadline model
 - $\hfill \label{eq:second}$ $\hfill \hfill \$
- formal methods available
 - compatible extension of existing Worst Case Response Time Analysis (e.g. pyCPA, SmTA/S)
- weakly-hard deadline miss model compatible to function models
 - this tutorial gives examples for automatic control and communication

Tutorial presentations

 Tutorial Introduction Rolf Ernst, TU Braunschweig, Germany

Function

- Industrial Requirements & Solutions for a Suitable Interface between Function Development and Real-Time Systems Integration Dirk Ziegenbein, Bosch, Germany
- Stability analysis and control design for weakly hard real-time systems Steffen Linsenmayer, Univ. Stuttgart

10:00 Coffee break

 Design and Verification of Real-Time Systems with m-k Requirements: Current Practices Rafik Henia, Thales TRT

- Timing Design and Verification of Real-time Systems with m-k Requirements: the Use of Typical Worst-Case Analysis Sophie Quinton, INRIA, Grenoble
- Beyond the m-k model: restoring performance considerations in the time abstraction Marco Di Natale, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa
 Analysis

15.10.2017 | R. Ernst, Tutorial ESWEEK - Introduction |Page 6

Tutorial panel

- panel with all presenters
- some initial questions to the panelists
 - The tutorial focused on the model of weakly hard real-time systems. There are other proposals to extend real-time behavior beyond hard deadlines, e.g. using probabilistic models. Is there room for several such models in the industrial design process? What will decide on the usage?
 - is the weakly-hard system model limited to few specific applications in control and communication or could it become a general model for system design?
 - will the use of (m, k) guarantees change the embedded system design process and how?

Please, add your own questions