Dramatic Video Editing

1. Motivations
Leveraging on the existing work developed by Imagine and Cirma, the cooperation intends to develop an automatic system for dramatic editing of video.

Imagine has already experimented in the field of intelligent editing in which an autonomous system applies the traditional syntax rules of cinematographic editing (es. 180° degree role, continuity role, etc) to select the appropriate cameras to convey actions in a dramatic scene reproduced in 3-D animation (see Galvane 2015). The same approach can also be generalised to live-action video, e.g. using the rush generation method described
by Gandhi et al. 2014.

2. Dramatic elements in automatic video editing
Cirma provides a computational ontology that represents the domain of dramatic elements, Drammar (Battaglino et al. 2013, Lombardo et al. 2015). For dramatic elements, we mainly intend the conflicts that stem from the characters' intentions, enacted on stage through purposed actions. The accomplishment of characters' intentions, and the elements that obstruct them, then, give rise to emotional states in the characters, which are reverberated in the audience according to the literature about drama aesthetics (Esslin 1987, Bordwell and Carroll 2012).

Film and Television history and theory has shown that the contemporary editing non only aims at the comprehension of the narrative by the audience but it also targets its emotional response. Given the relevance of dramatic conflicts and their emotional charge, we believe that their role at the expressive level of drama delivery is worth investigating as a joint research project, typically through video recorded performances (as in Gandhi et al 2014) or 3-D animation (as in Galvane 2015).

3. Tasks
In particular, we envisage a set of tasks as the most immediately pursuable in automatic film editing. The role of dramatic elements, and characters' conflicts in particular, in editing, can be broken down into separate, yet related, tasks as follows:
a) detection (and/or manual analysis) of dramatic elements from script/dialogue (see Cataldi et al 2013);
b) integration of dramatic elements in scene annotation (see Lombardo et al. 2016);
c) use of dramatic elements for the selection and editing of shots.

We also believe that the project can produce an interesting by-product in terms of integrated or unified shot annotation where the editing properties and dramatic elements are interlinked in a unified annotation schema (d).

References

Battaglino, C., Damiano, R., & Lesmo, L. (2013, May). Emotional range in value-sensitive deliberation. In Proceedings of the 2013 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems (pp. 769-776).

Bordwell, D., and Carroll, N. (Eds.). (2012). Post-theory: Reconstructing film studies. University of Wisconsin Pres.

Cataldi, M., Damiano, R., Lombardo, V., & Pizzo, A. (2013). Lexical mediation for ontology-based annotation of multimedia. In New Trends of Research in Ontologies and Lexical Resources (pp. 113-134). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Esslin, M. (1987). The field of drama: How the signs of drama create meaning on stage and screen. Methuen.

Galvane, Q., Ronfard, R., Lino, C., Christie, M. (2015). Continuity Editing for 3D Animation. AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Jan 2015, Austin, Texas, United States.

Gandhi, V., Ronfard, R., Gleicher, M. (2014) Multi-Clip Video Editing from a Single Viewpoint. CVMP 2014 - European Conference on Visual Media Production, Nov 2014, London, United Kingdom.

Lombardo, V., Pizzo, A., Damiano, R., Terzulli, C., & Albert, G. (2016). Interactive Chart of Story Characters' Intentions. In Interactive Storytelling: 9th International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling, ICIDS 2016, Los Angeles, CA, USA, November 15–18, 2016, LNCS, volume 10045 (pp. 415-418). Springer International Publishing.

Lombardo, V., Battaglino, C., Pizzo, A., Damiano, R., & Lieto, A. (2015). Coupling conceptual modeling and rules for the annotation of dramatic media. Semantic Web, 6(5), 503-534.