#### **Simplicial Complexes**

#### Jean-Daniel Boissonnat Geometrica, INRIA http://www-sop.inria.fr/geometrica

## Examples of simplicial complexes







#### **Algorithmic Geometry**

## **Geometric simplices**

A *k*-simplex  $\sigma$  is the convex hull of k + 1 points of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that are affinely independent

$$\sigma = \operatorname{conv}(p_0, ..., p_k) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ x = \sum_{i=0}^k \ \lambda_i \ p_i, \ \lambda_i \in [0, 1], \ \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1 \}$$

 $k = \dim(\operatorname{aff}(\sigma))$  is called the dimension of  $\sigma$ 



#### Faces of a simplex



 $V(\sigma) =$  set of vertices of a *k*-simplex  $\sigma$ 

 $\forall V' \subseteq V(\sigma), \operatorname{conv}(V') \text{ is a face of } \sigma$ 

a 
$$k$$
-simplex has  $\left(egin{array}{c} k+1\ i+1 \end{array}
ight)$  faces of dimension  $i$ 

total nb of faces 
$$=\sum_{i=0}^{d} \left( egin{array}{c} k+1 \\ i+1 \end{array} 
ight) = 2^{k+1}-1$$

**Algorithmic Geometry** 

## Geometric simplicial complexes

A finite collection of simplices *K* called the faces of *K* such that

•  $\forall \sigma \in K, \sigma \text{ is a simplex}$ 

• 
$$\sigma \in K, \tau \subset \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \in K$$

∀σ, τ ∈ K, either σ ∩ τ = Ø or σ ∩ τ is a common face of both







The dimension of a simplicial complex *K* is the max dimension of its simplices

A subset of *K* which is a complex is called a subcomplex of *K* 

The underlying space  $|K| \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of *K* is the union of the simplices of *K* 

# Example 1 : Triangulation of a finite point set of $\mathbb{R}^d$



• A simplicial *d*-complex *K* is pure if every simplex in *K* is the face of a *d*-simplex.

A triangulation of a finite point set P ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a pure geometric simplicial complex K s.t. vert(K) = P and |K| = conv(P).

# Example 1 : Triangulation of a finite point set of $\mathbb{R}^d$



- A simplicial *d*-complex *K* is pure if every simplex in *K* is the face of a *d*-simplex.
- A triangulation of a finite point set P ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a pure geometric simplicial complex K s.t. vert(K) = P and |K| = conv(P).

## Example 2 : triangulation of a polygonal domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$



A triangulation of a polygonal domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a pure geometric simplicial complex *K* s.t.  $vert(K) = vert(\Omega)$  and  $|K| = \Omega$ .

#### Exercises

- Show that such a triangulation exists for any Ω
- Propose an algorithm of complexity O(n log n) to compute it where n = \$\pmyvert(Ω)
- Show that some polyhedral domains of R<sup>3</sup> do not admit a triangulation

#### **Algorithmic Geometry**

#### **Triangulations 1**

#### Simplicial Complexes 8 / 1

# Example 2 : triangulation of a polygonal domain of $\mathbb{R}^2$



A triangulation of a polygonal domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a pure geometric simplicial complex *K* s.t.  $vert(K) = vert(\Omega)$  and  $|K| = \Omega$ .

#### Exercises

- Show that such a triangulation exists for any Ω
- Propose an algorithm of complexity O(n log n) to compute it where n = \$vert(Ω)
- ► Show that some polyhedral domains of ℝ<sup>3</sup> do not admit a triangulation

Algorithmic Geometry

# Example 3 : the boundary complex of the convex hull of a finite set of points in general position



#### Polytope

$$\operatorname{conv}(P) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ x = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i \ p_i, \\ \lambda_i \in [0, 1], \ \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1 \}$$

Supporting hyperplane H:  $H \cap P \neq \emptyset$ , P on one side of H

Faces :  $conv(P) \cap H$ , H supp. hyp.

• *P* is in general position iff no subset of k + 2 points lie in a *k*-flat

• If *P* is in general position, all faces of conv(*P*) are simplices

# Example 3 : the boundary complex of the convex hull of a finite set of points in general position



#### Polytope

$$\operatorname{conv}(P) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ x = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i \ p_i, \\ \lambda_i \in [0, 1], \ \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1 \}$$

Supporting hyperplane H:  $H \cap P \neq \emptyset$ , P on one side of H

Faces :  $conv(P) \cap H$ , H supp. hyp.

#### • *P* is in general position iff no subset of k + 2 points lie in a *k*-flat

• If *P* is in general position, all faces of conv(*P*) are simplices

# Example 3 : the boundary complex of the convex hull of a finite set of points in general position



#### Polytope

$$\operatorname{conv}(P) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ x = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i \ p_i, \\ \lambda_i \in [0, 1], \ \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i = 1 \}$$

Supporting hyperplane H:  $H \cap P \neq \emptyset$ , P on one side of H

Faces :  $conv(P) \cap H$ , H supp. hyp.

- *P* is in general position iff no subset of k + 2 points lie in a *k*-flat
- If *P* is in general position, all faces of conv(*P*) are simplices

Given a finite set of elements P, an abstract simplicial complex K with vertex set P is a set of subsets of P s.t.

The elements of K are called the (abstract) simplices or faces of K

The dimension of a simplex  $\sigma$  is  $dim(\sigma) = \sharp vert(\sigma) - 1$ 

## Nerve of a finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, ..., U_n\}$ of *X*

An example of an abstract simplicial complex



The nerve of  $\mathcal{U}$  is the simplicial complex K(U) defined by

$$\sigma = [U_{i_0}, ..., U_{i_k}] \in K(U) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \cap_{i=1}^k U_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$$

Algorithmic Geometry

### Realization of an abstract simplicial complex

• A realization of an abstract simplicial complex *K* is a geometric simplicial complex *K<sub>g</sub>* whose corresponding abstract simplicial complex is isomorphic to *K*, i.e.

 $\exists \text{ bijective } f: \operatorname{vert}(K) \to \operatorname{vert}(K_g) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sigma \in K \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(\sigma) \in K_g$ 

• Any abstract simplicial complex K can be realized in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

Hint : 
$$v_i \to p_i = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 $(n = \sharp vert(K))$  $\sigma = \operatorname{conv}(p_1, ..., p_n)$ (canonical simplex) $K_g \subseteq \sigma$ 

• Realizations are not unique but are all topologically equivalent (homeomorphic)

Algorithmic Geometry

### Topological equivalence

Two subsets *X* and *Y* of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are said to be topologically equivalent or homeomorphic if there exists a continuous, bijective map  $f : X \to Y$  with continuous inverse  $f^{-1}$ 



No need for the condition  $f^{-1}$  to be continuous if *X* is compact and *Y* is Hausdorff (e.g. a metric space)

## Topological equivalence

Two subsets *X* and *Y* of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are said to be topologically equivalent or homeomorphic if there exists a continuous, bijective map  $f : X \to Y$  with continuous inverse  $f^{-1}$ 



No need for the condition  $f^{-1}$  to be continuous if *X* is compact and *Y* is Hausdorff (e.g. a metric space)

# Are these objects homeomorphic ?





**Algorithmic Geometry** 

**Triangulations 1** 

Simplicial Complexes 14 / 1

#### Are these objects homeomorphic ?



#### Are these objects homeomorphic ?



## Triangulated balls and spheres

A triangulated *d*-ball ((d - 1)-sphere) is a simplicial complex whose realization is homeomorphic to the unit *d*-ball ((d - 1)-sphere) of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### Examples

- a triangulated simple polygon
- ► the boundary complex of a simplicial *d*-polytope is a triangulated (*d* - 1)-sphere
- a triangulated polyhedron without hole

# Triangulated balls and spheres

A triangulated *d*-ball ((d-1)-sphere) is a simplicial complex whose realization is homeomorphic to the unit *d*-ball ((d-1)-sphere) of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### Examples

- a triangulated simple polygon
- the boundary complex of a simplicial *d*-polytope is a triangulated (d-1)-sphere
- a triangulated polyhedron without hole



#### A weaker notion of topological equivalence

Let *X* and *Y* be two subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Two maps  $f_0, f_1 : X \to Y$  are said to be homotopic if there exists a continuous map  $H : [0, 1] \times X \to Y$  s.t.

 $\forall x \in X, \quad H(0,x) = f_0(x) \quad \land \quad H(1,x) = f_1(x)$ 



# Homotopy equivalence



*X* and *Y* are said to be homotopy equivalent if there exist two continuous maps  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g (g \circ f)$  is homotopic to the identity map in *Y*(*X*)

**Deformation retract** :  $r : X \to Y \subseteq X$  is a d.r. if it is homotopic to Id *X* and *Y* then have the same homotopy type

*X* is said to be contractible if it has the same homotopy type as a point

**Algorithmic Geometry** 

# Homotopy equivalence



*X* and *Y* are said to be homotopy equivalent if there exist two continuous maps  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g (g \circ f)$  is homotopic to the identity map in *Y*(*X*)

**Deformation retract** :  $r : X \to Y \subseteq X$  is a d.r. if it is homotopic to Id *X* and *Y* then have the same homotopy type

*X* is said to be contractible if it has the same homotopy type as a point

Algorithmic Geometry

# Homotopy equivalence



*X* and *Y* are said to be homotopy equivalent if there exist two continuous maps  $f : X \to Y$  and  $g : Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ g (g \circ f)$  is homotopic to the identity map in *Y*(*X*)

**Deformation retract** :  $r : X \to Y \subseteq X$  is a d.r. if it is homotopic to Id *X* and *Y* then have the same homotopy type

*X* is said to be contractible if it has the same homotopy type as a point

Algorithmic Geometry

## Nerve of a finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, ..., U_n\}$ of *X*



The nerve of  $\mathcal{U}$  is the simplicial complex K(U) defined by

$$\sigma = [U_{i_0}, ..., U_{i_k}] \in K(U) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \cap_{i=1}^k U_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$$

**Algorithmic Geometry** 

#### Nerve of a cover



#### Nerve Theorem (Leray)

If any intersection of the  $U_i$  is either empty or contractible, then X and K(U) have the same homotopy type

#### Example 1: Cech complex of a point set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$



#### **Algorithmic Geometry**

- Show that  $\sigma \in C(P, \alpha) \iff R(\operatorname{minball}(P)) \le \alpha$
- Propose an algorithm to compute minball(P)
   (O(#P) time complexity for fixed dimension d)
- Involves the computation of radii of spheres circumscribing *d*-simplices

#### Example 2 : Rips complex of P

 $\sigma \subseteq P \in R(P,\alpha) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall p,q \in \sigma \ \|p-q\| \leq \alpha \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad B(p,\frac{\alpha}{2}) \cap B(q,\frac{\alpha}{2}) \neq \emptyset$ 



- Show that  $R(P, \alpha) \subseteq C(P, \alpha) \subseteq R(P, 2\alpha)$
- Computing R(P, α) reduces to computing the graph G (vertices+edges) of R(P, α) and computing the cliques of G

#### Nerves of Euclidean Voronoi diagrams



Voronoi cell  $V(p_i) = \{x : ||x - p_i|| \le ||x - p_j||, \forall j\}$ Voronoi diagram ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) = { collection of all cells  $V(p_i), p_i \in \mathcal{P}$  }

#### Nerves of Euclidean Voronoi diagrams



The nerve of Vor(P) is called the Delaunay complex Del(P)Del(P) cannot always be realized in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

Algorithmic Geometry

## Triangulation of a finite point set of $\mathbb{R}^d$

• A simplicial *k*-complex *K* is pure if every simplex in *K* is the face of a *k*-simplex.

A triangulation of a finite point set P ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a pure geometric simplicial complex K s.t. vert(K) = P and |K| = conv(P).

**Problem** : show that the Delaunay triangulation of a finite point set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a triangulation under some mild genericity assumption

## Triangulation of a finite point set of $\mathbb{R}^d$

- A simplicial *k*-complex *K* is pure if every simplex in *K* is the face of a *k*-simplex.
- A triangulation of a finite point set P ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a pure geometric simplicial complex K s.t. vert(K) = P and |K| = conv(P).

**Problem** : show that the Delaunay triangulation of a finite point set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a triangulation under some mild genericity assumption

# Triangulation of a finite point set of $\mathbb{R}^d$

- A simplicial k-complex K is pure if every simplex in K is the face of a k-simplex.
- A triangulation of a finite point set P ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is a pure geometric simplicial complex K s.t. vert(K) = P and |K| = conv(P).

**Problem :** show that the Delaunay triangulation of a finite point set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a triangulation under some mild genericity assumption

- Let *K* be a simplicial complex with vertex set *P*. The star of *p* ∈ *P* is the set of simplices of *K* that have *p* as a vertex
- The link of p is the set of simplices τ ⊂ σ such that σ ∈ star(p, K) but τ ∉ star(p, K)
- If K is a triangulation of a point set
  - the link of any vertex of  $K \setminus \partial K$  is a triangulated (k-1)-sphere
  - the link of any vertex of  $\partial K$  is a triangulated (k-1)-ball

## Data structures to represent simplicial complexes

#### Atomic operations

- Look-up/Insertion/Deletion of a simplex
- The facets and subfaces of a simplex
- The cofaces of a simplex
- Edge contractions
- Elementary collapses

Explicit representation of all simplices ? of all incidence relations ?

### The incidence graph



#### The Hasse diagram



- Select a specific spanning tree of the Hasse diagram s.t. the chosen incidences respect the lexicographic order
- Keep only the biggest vertex in each simplex. The vertices of a simplex are encountered in the path from the root to its node



- Select a specific spanning tree of the Hasse diagram s.t. the chosen incidences respect the lexicographic order
- Keep only the biggest vertex in each simplex. The vertices of a simplex are encountered in the path from the root to its node



**Algorithmic Geometry** 

[B., Maria 2014]

- Select a specific spanning tree of the Hasse diagram s.t. the chosen incidences respect the lexicographic order
- Keep only the biggest vertex in each simplex. The vertices of a simplex are encountered in the path from the root to its node



**Algorithmic Geometry** 

[B., Maria 2014]

- Select a specific spanning tree of the Hasse diagram s.t. the chosen incidences respect the lexicographic order
- Keep only the biggest vertex in each simplex. The vertices of a simplex are encountered in the path from the root to its node



#### **Triangulations 1**

[B., Maria 2014]

#### The simplex tree is a trie

- Index the vertices of *K*
- 2) associate to each simplex  $\sigma \in K$ , the sorted list of its vertices
- 3 store the simplices in a trie.



#### Performance of the simplex tree

- Explicit representation of all simplices
- #nodes =  $\#\mathcal{K}$
- Memory complexity: O(1) per simplex.
- depth = dim $(\mathcal{K}) + 1$
- #children $(\sigma) \leq \#$ cofaces $(\sigma) \leq deg(last(\sigma))$

Data	$ \mathcal{P} $	D	d	r	k	$T_{g}$	E	$T_{\rm Rips}$	$ \mathcal{K} $	$T_{\rm tot}$	$T_{\rm tot}/ \mathcal{K} $
Bud	49,990	3	2	0.11	3	1.5	1,275,930	104.5	354,695,000	104.6	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Bro	15,000	25	?	0.019	25	0.6	3083	36.5	116,743,000	37.1	$3.2\cdot10^{-7}$
Cy8	6,040	24	<b>2</b>	0.4	24	0.11	$76,\!657$	4.5	13,379,500	4.61	$3.4\cdot10^{-7}$
Kl	90,000	5	2	0.075	5	0.46	1,120,000	68.1	233,557,000	68.5	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$
$\mathbf{S4}$	50,000	5	4	0.28	5	2.2	$1,\!422,\!490$	95.1	$275,\!126,\!000$	97.3	$3.6\cdot 10^{-7}$

- Show how to implement the atomic operations on a ST [B., Maria 2014]
- Show how to represent a Rips complex

# Computing the min. enclosing ball mb(P) of $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

#### Properties

- mb(P) is unique
- mb(P) is determined by at most d + 1 points
- If  $B = \operatorname{mb}(P \setminus \{p\})$  and  $p \notin B$ , then  $p \in \partial \operatorname{mb}(P)$
- same results for mb (P, Q), the min ball *B* such that  $P \subset \text{int}B$  and  $Q \in \partial B$  (if it exists)
  - If  $B = \operatorname{mb}(P \setminus \{p\}, Q)$ ) and  $p \notin B$ , then
    - $p \in \partial \operatorname{mb}(P, Q)$  (if it exists)
    - $\blacktriangleright \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{mb}(P,Q) = \mathsf{mb}(P \setminus \{p\}, Q \cup \{p\})$

# Computing the min. enclosing ball $\mathsf{mb}(P)$ of $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

#### Algorithm

#### input P $Q := \emptyset$ // points on $\partial$ mb(P) mb(P) := miniball(P, Q) stop

Algorithm miniball(P, Q) // Q = points that have to be on  $\partial$ minball

**()** if 
$$P = \emptyset$$
 then compute directly  $B := \mathsf{mb}(Q)$ 

🙆 else

- choose a random  $p \in P$
- $B := \mathsf{miniball}(P \setminus \{p\}, Q)$
- $\textbf{if } p \notin B \text{ then } B := \min(P \setminus \{p\}, Q \cup \{p\})$

#### return B

## Complexity analysis

Let T(n,j) = expected number of tests  $p \notin B$ ,  $p \in P$ with #P = n and j = d + 1 - #Q

T(0,j) = 0 and T(n,0) = 0since *p* is any point among *P* and  $\#(P \cap \partial B) = j$ ,

proba (
$$p \notin B = \text{miniball}(P \setminus \{p\}, Q)) \leq \frac{j}{n}$$

$$T(n,j) \le T(n-1,j) + O(1) + \frac{j}{n} T(n-1,j-1)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow T(n,j) \le (j+1)! n$$

Complexity of mb(P) = O(d) T(n, d+1) = O(n) for fixed d