



# **Visual tracking**

### **Eric Marchand**

Lagadic group Inria Rennes Bretagne Atlantique & Irisa http://www.irisa.fr/lagadic





# **Visual tracking**

Definition

- **Visual tracking** is the process of locating a moving object (or multiple objects) over time using a camera.
- Tracking then refers to a localization problem

Tracking can be done:

- In the image plane (2D)
- In the real world (3D)

Objects

- Keypoints, geometrical features
- Image regions
- 3D objects







# What is tracking ?

Tracking: A state estimation issue from image measurements

Using image measurements consistently estimate the state(s)  $\mathbf{x}_t$  of one or more object(s) over the discrete time steps in a video



t

t-1

*t*+1

lagadic

Measurements? state?



### From image measurements to state estimation

lagadic

Measurements:

Ínría

- Pixel intensity (raw data), color
- Visual feature (edges, line, keypoints, motion vectors)
- Detection process (face, car, ...)

# From image measurements to state estimation

lagadic

Measurements:

- Pixel intensity (raw data), color
- Visual feature (edges, keypoints, motion vectors)
- Detection process (face, car, ...)
   State:
- Coordinates(2 DoF)
- Geometrical features (from 2 DoF to...)
- Bounding box (4-6 DoF)
- 3D rigid pose (6 DoF)
- 3D pose + deformation (6+k DoF)
- Homography (8 DoF)

Innía

• Visual SLAM (6N + M DoF)

# Formalizing tracking

Given past and current measurements

$$\mathbf{z}_{1:t} = (\mathbf{z}_1 \dots \mathbf{z}_t)$$

10

0 حب

-10

-10

[Patrick Perez 2015]

Output an estimate of current state

$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_t = f(\mathbf{z}_{1:t})$$

**Deterministic tracking** 

Optimization of ad-hoc objective function

$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \operatorname{argmin} E(\mathbf{x}_t, \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t})$$

Probabilistic tracking

• Computation of the filtering pdf  $\,p(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{z}_{1:t})\,$  and estimate:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \operatorname{argmax} p(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{z}_{1:t})$$



0



# I will not talk about... (but a few words)

vSLAM and RGB-D mapping (and then tracking)

- It is clearly in the scope of a talk on visual tracking !
- Tutorial this afternoon 13:30 17:30 room A1

Probabilistic tracking

- Kalman filter, EKF, Particle filter
- All the presented approaches could take advantage of probabilistic filter





### **Overview**

- 2D tracking
  - Fiducial markers
  - Contour-based tracking
  - Keypoints, KLT
  - Color tracking
- Motion estimation
  - Region based tracking
- 3D model-based tracking
- Application in visual servoing (see next talk)





## **Detection vs tracking**

Is tracking only a detection and matching problem ?

Detection/matching:

- Estimate  $\mathbf{x}_t$  for a given frame regardless of past frames
- Search over the whole image (may computationally be inefficient)

Tracking:

- Spatio-temporal issue maintain the estimate of  $\mathbf{x}_t$  over time
- Restrict search space (may consider prediction process)
- Dynamic evolution model

Tracking may be achieved thanks to a detection/matching algorithm





### Fiducial markers : still useful ?

White dots on black background So simple, yet efficient,...

Just a connected component labeling

Still considered in research in visual servoing to test

- modeling aspects
- design of new control laws



Ready for industrial applications





## **Tracking contour-based 2D features**

Local tracking of edge points

- Eg, ECM algorithm [Bouthemy PAMI 89]
- 1D search algorithm
- Convolution with oriented mask

Robust estimation of feature parameters

- Lines, circles, splines, etc.
- Least square

Innía

- IRLS (M-estimation)
- Frame rate performance

Source code in ViSP [Marchand IEEE RAM05]





### **Tracking contour-based 2D features**





### Tracking a set of features

#### [Andreff IJRR01]





### **Tracking contour-based 2D features**

Tracking in catadioptric images [Hadj-Abdelkader, LASMEA]



Contour following





![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Tracking points of interest: KLT**

[Lucas Kanade 1981]

Point detection using Harris and Stephen detector

• Maximum of the signal autocorrelation matrix

Tracking using KLT algorithm

• Based on brightness consistency hypothesis  $I_0(\mathbf{x}) = I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h})$ 

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Tracking points of interest: KLT

[Lucas Kanade 1981]

Point detection using Harris and Stephen detector

• Maximum of the signal autocorrelation matrix

Tracking using KLT algorithm

• Based on brightness consistency hypothesis  $I_0(\mathbf{x}) = I(\mathbf{x})$  \_SSD

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} (I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}))^2$$

- h is the translation motion for a given patch
- Extended to more complex motion (see later) [Shi, CVPR 1994] [Baker IJCV 2004]

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

Global description of tracked region: color histogram

• Reference histogram with B bins

$$\mathbf{q}^* = \{q_i^*\}_{i=1..N}$$

Candidate histogram at current instant

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \{q_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=1..N}$$

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

Ínría

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### [Comaniciu PAMI 2003]

Global description of tracked region: color histogram

• Reference histogram with B bins

$$\mathbf{q}^* = \{q_i^*\}_{i=1..N}$$

Candidate histogram at current instant

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \{q_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=1..N}$$

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

lagadic

At each instant

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{h}} \quad dist(\mathbf{q}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}))$$

- iterative search: meanshift-like iteration
- Battacharyya measure

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

Global description of tracked region: color histogram

• Reference histogram with B bins

$$\mathbf{q}^* = \{q_i^*\}_{i=1..N}$$

set at track initialization Candidate histogram at current instant

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \{q_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=1..N}$$

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

lagadic

[Comaniciu PAMI 2003]

At each instant

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = rg\min_{\mathbf{h}} \quad 1 - \sum_{i} \sqrt{\mathbf{q}_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{q}_{i}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h})}$$

- iterative search: meanshift-like iteration
- Battacharyya measure

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### CHASING A MOVING TARGET FROM A FLYING UAV

C. Teulière L. Eck E. Marchand

INRIA Rennes-Bretagne Atlantique Lagadic project http://www.irisa.fr/lagadic

**CEA LIST Interactive Robotics Unit** 

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Remark

Up to now

- Estimated state is composed of 2D information
- Restricted number of DoF
- Need many features/tracker and further estimation to provide 3D displacement or 3D object position

An issue

• There does not exist a 2D transformation that can account for 3D object motion

lagadic

20

Two alternatives (among others)

- Homography estimation / planar constraints
- Model-based tracking / 3D shape prior
- SLAM (later this afternoon)

![](_page_19_Picture_11.jpeg)

### From basic image features to 3D information

A partial solution to:

"Need many features/trackers and further estimation to provide 3D displacement or 3D object position"

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

From basic image features to motion

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} (\mathbf{x}_0 - w(\mathbf{x}, h))^2$$

- w(x,h) is a 2D motion model (warp function)
  - Translation, Rt, sRt
  - Affine motion model

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

Homography

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Short reminder: homography

Let us assume that points/pixel belong to a plane  $\mathcal{P}({}^1\mathbf{n}, {}^1\!d)$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} {}^{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}({}^{1}\mathbf{n}, {}^{1}\!d) \Leftrightarrow {}^{1}\!\mathbf{n}^{\top} {}^{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = {}^{1}\!d \\ {}^{2} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = {}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{1} {}^{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} + {}^{2} \mathbf{t}_{1} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow {}^{2} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = {}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{1} {}^{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} + {}^{1} \mathbf{t}_{2} \frac{{}^{1}\!\mathbf{n}^{\mathrm{T}}}{{}^{1}\!d} {}^{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$$
and
$$Z_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} = {}^{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Z_{2} \mathbf{x}_{2} = {}^{2} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$$

leading to  $\lambda \mathbf{x_2} = {}^{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{H_1}\mathbf{x_1}$ 

with 
$${}^{2}\mathbf{H_{1}} = {}^{2}\mathbf{R_{1}} + \frac{{}^{1}\mathbf{n}^{\top}}{{}^{1}\!d}{}^{2}\mathbf{t}_{1}$$
 and  $\lambda = \frac{Z_{2}}{Z_{1}}$ 

Note that a homography integrates information about the camera displacement

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Homography estimation is a linear problem

For each point we have (in homogeneous coordinates) :

 $\mathbf{x_2} = {}^{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{H_1}\mathbf{x_1}$ 

which is equivalent to:  $\mathbf{x}_2 \times {}^2\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{x}_1 = 0$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

lagadic

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Homography estimation is a linear problem

For each point we have (in homogeneous coordinates) :

 $\mathbf{x_2} = {}^{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{H_1}\mathbf{x_1}$ 

which is equivalent to:  $\mathbf{x}_2 \times {}^2\mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{x}_1 = 0$ 

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

it can be solved using an SVD decomposition

 $\Gamma = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}$ 

h is the vector of V associated with the smallest singular value of  $\Gamma$ 

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Motion estimation from keypoints

- Harris points extracted on a selected template
- points tracked using a KLT-like method
- statistically robust method to get a coherent global motion model

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

ladaqıc

26

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

# From keypoints tracking/matching to 3D tracking

#### [Berger, Simon IEEE CGA02]

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

A remark:

Always use robust estimation RANSAC is perfect here

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

Extention of the KLT approach

Based on brightness consistency hypothesis

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} \left( I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})) \right)^2$$

• w(x,h) is a 2D motion model (warp function)

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

Model  $I_0(\mathbf{x})$ 

h

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

Extention of the KLT approach

• Based on brightness consistency hypothesis

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} \left( I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})) \right)^2$$

- w(x,h) is a 2D motion model (warp function)
  - Translation (KLT), Rt, sRt
  - Affine motion model [Shi CVPR 1994,Baker IJCV 2004, Hager PAMI 1998]

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

Homography [Malis IROS 2004] (ESM minimization process)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Algorithm Overview**

• Principe :

Small dispalcement between 2 successive images

• Effect:

 $h_{t-1}$  is close from  $h_t$ .

• Algorithm:

 $\boldsymbol{h}_{t\text{-}1}$  is iteratively adapted to estimate  $\boldsymbol{h}_t$  . Iterative processitératif:

- Initialization :

- loop:

$$\mathbf{p}_t^0 = \widehat{\mathbf{p}_{t-1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Delta p}^k &= \arg \max_{\mathbf{\Delta p}} f\left(I^*(\mathbf{x}), I(w(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\Delta p}), \mathbf{p}^k)) \\ w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{k+1}) \leftarrow w(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\Delta p}^k), \mathbf{p}^k) \end{aligned}$$

![](_page_30_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_16.jpeg)

KLT and template tracking [Lucas Kanade 1981, Baker IJCV 04]

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) \text{ with } C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} (I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})))^2$$

For each pixel a first order Taylor extension of  $c(\mathbf{h}) = I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})) - I_0(\mathbf{x})$ 

$$c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h} + \delta \mathbf{h}) = I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})) + \frac{\partial I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}))}{\partial \mathbf{h}} \delta \mathbf{h} - I_0(\mathbf{x}) + O(\delta \mathbf{h})$$
  

$$\approx I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})) + \nabla I \frac{\partial w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})}{\partial \mathbf{h}} \delta \mathbf{h} - I_0(\mathbf{x})$$

lagadıc

32

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) \text{ with } C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} (I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})))^2$$

If we now consider all the pixel (vector notation)

With  $C(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h})^{\top} \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h}), \text{ with } \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{I}_t(w(\mathbf{h})) - \mathbf{I}_0$ 

$$\mathbf{I}_0 = (\dots, I_0(\mathbf{x}), \dots)^\top$$
$$\mathbf{I}_t(w(\mathbf{h})) = (\dots, I_t(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})), \dots)^\top$$

In this case the linearization of **c(h)** is

$$\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h} + \delta \mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{I}_t(w(\mathbf{h})) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{h})\delta \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{I}_0$$
  
With the Jacobian given by  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{h}) = (\dots, \nabla I \frac{\partial w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})}{\partial \mathbf{h}}, \dots)^{\top}$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) \text{ with } C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} (I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})))^2$$

If we now consider all the pixel (vector notation)

 $C(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h})^{\top} \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h}), \text{ with } \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{I}_t(w(\mathbf{h})) - \mathbf{I}_0$ 

With the Gauss-Newton method the solution consists in minimizing  $C(\mathbf{h} + \delta \mathbf{h})$  where:

 $C(\mathbf{h} + \delta \mathbf{h}) = \|\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h} + \delta \mathbf{h})\| \approx \|\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{h}) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{h})\delta \mathbf{h}\|$ 

This minimization problem can be solved by an iterative least square approach and we have

$$\delta \mathbf{h} = -\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{h})^{+}(\mathbf{I}_{t}(w(\mathbf{h})) - \mathbf{I}_{0})$$

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

Large patch tracking

• Homography suitable for planar object, rotating camera

Is SSD suitable for large pacth

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Mostly yes...

Innia

 But, it is not robust to illumination variations, blur (and then to fast motion), multi-modality

lagadic

Large patch tracking

• Suitable for planar object, rotating camera

Is SSD suitable for large pacth

- Mainly yes... but...
- No robust to illumination variations, blur (and then to fast motion), multi-modality

Other registration function

- ZNCC
- SCV [Richa Hager IROS 2011]
- Mutual information [Dame IEEE IP 2010]

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Reference image

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

Current image

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

Localization

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Generalization

Other parameterized transformation, eg, [Malis IROS 2007]

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} \left( I_0(\mathbf{x}) - I(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})) \right)^2$$

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Generalization

May include light variation [Silveira-Malis CVPR07]

$$\widehat{\mathbf{h}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{h}} C(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in W} \left( I_0(\mathbf{x}) - aI(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) + b) \right)^2$$

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Optimization: inverse compositional**

**Direct formulation** 

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Delta p}^k &= \arg \max_{\mathbf{\Delta p}} f\left(I^*(\mathbf{x}), I(w(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\Delta p}), \mathbf{p}^k))\right) \\ w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{k+1}) \leftarrow w(w(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\Delta p}^k), \mathbf{p}^k) \end{split}$$

Idea: inverse current and template

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{p}^{k} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{p}} \mathrm{MI}\left(I^{*}(w(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{p})), I(w(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}^{k}))\right) \\ & w(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}^{k+1}) \leftarrow w(w^{-1}(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbf{p}^{k}),\mathbf{p}^{k}) \end{split}$$

Main advantage:

- Many terms are precomputed (Jacobian which is huge)
- Almost equivalent convergence properties

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Model-based trackers**

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

[Lowe PAMI 91]

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **3D model-based tracking**

Tracking is handled through pose estimation

• Small object/camera displacement between two frames

Pose computation by minimizing the error between the projection of the CAD model and the image contours

Efficient tracking

- even with occlusions
- video rate (50Hz).

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **Pose estimation: basic problem**

We know (x,y) and the object model **"X** We seek the pose **cT**<sub>w</sub> Solution is quite simple : change frame first

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

$${}^{c}\mathbf{X} = {}^{c}\mathbf{T}_{w}{}^{w}\mathbf{X} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} {}^{c}X = (\mathbf{r}_{1} \ \ 0)^{w}\mathbf{X} + t_{x} \\ {}^{c}Y = (\mathbf{r}_{2} \ \ 0)^{w}\mathbf{X} + t_{y} \\ {}^{c}Z = (\mathbf{r}_{3} \ \ 0)^{w}\mathbf{X} + t_{z} \end{cases}$$

Then project

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Pose estimation**

This problem is known as PnP

Many solution exists

- P3P [Fishler CACM 83] (introducing RANSAC) to [Kneip CVPR11]
- PnP (Direct Linear Transform, POSIT [Dementhon IJCV 95], EPnP [Lepetit]

Most of them can be found in openCV, ViSP, openGV, etc...

A gold standard solution: non-linear minimization of the reprojection error

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Pose estimation: the "gold-standard" solution

Goal

• Estimate the pose  ${}^{c}T_{w}$  of an object with respect to the camera frame

Example for point features

 Minimizing the error between the observation x<sub>i</sub> and the projection of the model in the image

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_i - \Pi \ {}^c \mathbf{T}_w {}^w \mathbf{X}_i)^2$$

 $\mathcal{R}_w$ 

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

lagadic

 $^{c}\mathbf{T}_{w}$ 

where **"X** are the coordinates of the same points in the object frame

• q is a minimal representation of  ${}^{c}T_{w}$ 

![](_page_44_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Pose: non linear minimization**

Solving

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_i - \Pi^c \mathbf{T}_w^w \mathbf{X}_i)^2$$

-

consists in minimizing the error e(q) defined by:

$$\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}))^{\top} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}))$$

with

$$\mathbf{x} = (..., \mathbf{x}_i, ...)^\top$$
$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}) = (..., \Pi^c \mathbf{T}_w^w \mathbf{X}_i, ...)^\top$$

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Linearization of the non-linear system

Problem: no general method to solve e(q) = 0

There exists iterative method that linearize the problem in order to find an adequate solution

First order Taylor expansion around q

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{q}) &= \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q}) + \delta \mathbf{q}_{1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{1}} + \ldots + \delta \mathbf{q}_{n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{n}} + O(|\delta \mathbf{q}|^{2}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{q})\delta \mathbf{q} \\ \end{aligned}$$
where  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{q}) = (\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{i}(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{n}})^{\top}$  is the gradient of  $\mathbf{e}_{i}$  in  $\mathbf{q}$  and where second order terms are neglected that the Jacobian

Computation if the Jacobian can be find in, eg, [Marchand IEEE TVCG 2016]

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Solving the linearized system

With the Gauss-Newton method, we do no want to determine the value of **q** that ensures **e**(**q**)=0 but the value that minimizes the cost function:

 $E(\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{q}) = \|\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{q})\| \approx \|\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{q})\delta \mathbf{q}\|$ 

This is a linear minimization problem (solved by a least-square approach) and we have:  $\delta \mathbf{q} = -\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{q})^+ \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{q})$ 

Solved by an iterative least square method

 $\mathbf{q}_{k+1} = \mathbf{q}_k \oplus \delta \mathbf{q} = \exp^{\delta \mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q}$ 

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

lagadic

![](_page_47_Figure_7.jpeg)

### **Beyond points**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Beyond points**

Distance to a moving line

- **x**<sub>i</sub> : point extracted in the image using, eg, the ECM algorithm
- L(q) : projection of the object model for pose r

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $d_{\perp}(L(\mathbf{q}), {}^{t+1}\mathbf{x}_i)$ 

![](_page_49_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Beyond points**

Distance to a moving line

- **x**<sub>i</sub> : point extracted in the image using, eg, the ECM algorithm
- L(q) : projection of the object model for pose r

 $d_{\perp}(L(\mathbf{q}), {}^{t+1}\mathbf{x}_i)$ 

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Markerless tracking

Similar approach but point to contour distance

$${}^{t+1}\widehat{\mathbf{q}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{i} d_{\perp}(L(\mathbf{q}), {}^{t+1}\mathbf{x}_{i})$$
  
 $d_{\perp}(L_{i}(\mathbf{q}), {}^{t+1}\mathbf{x}_{i})$  is the squared distance between the point  $\mathbf{x}_{i}$  and the projection of the contour of the model.

lagadic

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Pose estimation: robustness to outliers

The residue is given by:

$$\mathbf{e}_{\rho}(\mathbf{q}) = \rho\big(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q})\big)$$

Tukey's M-estimator
$$w_i = \frac{\psi(\delta_i/\sigma)}{\delta_i/\sigma}$$
 $\psi(u) = \begin{cases} u(C^2 - u^2)^2 & |u| \leq C \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ 

• where **X** is a robust function (M-estimation)

• Minimize

$$\mathbf{e}_{
ho}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{q}))$$

The control law, similar to an IRLS, which minimizes x-x(q) is given by

 $\delta \mathbf{q} = -(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{q}))^{+}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{e}_{\rho}(\mathbf{q})$ 

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} w_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & w_n \end{array}\right)$$

![](_page_52_Figure_11.jpeg)

Ínría\_

### **Model-based trackers**

Such approach is quite efficient It did the job pretty well At video rate [Petit ICRA 2014]

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Spatial applications**

Manoeuvre Atlantis /ISS

Rendez-vous Soyuz/ISS

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

lagadic

![](_page_54_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Trends: vSLAM

See Tutorial on SLAM this afternoon

But the idea is to estimate both the pose (current and past) along with scene 3D structure

$$([\widehat{\mathbf{q}}]_t, \qquad [\widehat{^{w}\mathbf{X}}]_N) = \\ \arg\min_{([\mathbf{q}]_t, [^{w}\mathbf{X}]_N)} \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{i=1}^N d(\mathbf{x}_{j_i}, \Pi^j \mathbf{T}_w^{w} \mathbf{X}_i))^2$$

This is related to the structure from motion problem

Use to be done using Kalman filter [Monoslam Davison 03] but current state of the art approaches rely on bundle adjustment methods using fature or photometric data [PTAM ISMAR 07][DTAM ICCV2011][LSD-SLAM ECCV 2014]...

### Large vSLAM

Issue with scale and drift solved thanks to loop closure detection

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### [Lim ICRA 2014]

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

### An example: LSD-Slam [Engel ECCV 2014]

LSD Slam minimize photometric error

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Trends: RGB-D tracking

Use RGD-D camera provides point cloud Clearly related to SLAM

Registration and localization is the done in the 3D space (ICP)

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ({}^{c}\mathbf{X}_{i} - {}^{c}\mathbf{T}_{w}{}^{w}\mathbf{X}_{i})^{2}$$

Featured, eg, in Kinect Fusion (with a signed distance function error) [Newcombe, ISMAR 11]

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

# $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \operatorname{argmax} p(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{z}_{1:t})$

![](_page_59_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Tracking with dynamics

# **Tracking with dynamics**

image measurements is used to estimate position of object, but also incorporate position predicted by dynamics, i.e., the expectation of object's motion pattern

Use a dynamic motion model

• Constant velocity, constant acceleration....

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Kalman filter VS particule filter

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Particle filtering**

#### [Isard and Blake, ECCV 1996] [Pérez et al. ECCV'02]

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

Ínría

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

### ViSP

# http://visp.inria.fr

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

lagadic

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Conclusions

Old problem but still open

Nevertheless, efficient solutions now exists !

Open (difficult) problems

- Initialization is still an issue (especially when 6+ DoF are concerned)
- Robustness vs Efficiency
- High number of DoF

Foreseen solutions

- Learning (aspects, shape, ...)
- On-line modification learning/estimation

![](_page_65_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_11.jpeg)