Uniformly accurate Particle-In-Cell method for Vlasov-Poisson with strong magnetic field

Xiaofei Zhao

INRIA-Bretagne (IPSO) & Université de Rennes I (IRMAR)

In collaboration with: M. Lemou, F. Méhats, and N. Crouseilles.

IPL FRATRES Meeting 2016

> Two-scale method for oscillatory equations

Long-time Vlasov-Poisson with strong magnetic field

- Particle-in-cell method
- Re-formulation of characteristics
- Uniformly accurate scheme and results



A classical oscillatory model problem:

$$\partial_t u(t) = F(t, t/\varepsilon, u(t)), \quad t > 0,$$

 $u(0) = u_0.$

- 0 < ε ≤ 1 given parameter. F(t, τ, u): smooth and 2π-periodic in τ.
- > u(t): highly oscillatory in t as $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.
- Including:
 - Classical Hamiltonian system
 - Dispersive and wave equation: nonlinear Klein-Gordon in nonrelativistic limit; oscillatory nonlinear Schrödinger equation
- > Widely studied:
 - Classical discretization: Δt restricted by ε for accuracy.
 - Approximation in limit regime: time-averaging
 - Asymptotic preserving: order reduction

Recent trend: uniformly accurate (UA) numerical method for all $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$.

Two-scale method (Ph. Chartier etc. 2015):

$$egin{aligned} \partial_t U(t, au) + rac{1}{arepsilon} \partial_ au U(t, au) &= F(t, au, U(t, au)), \quad t>0, \ au\in\mathbb{T}, \ U(0, au) &= U_0(au). \end{aligned}$$

> τ : fast time variable; independent of t.

>
$$U(t, \tau)$$
 periodic in τ .

>
$$U_0(au)$$
: prescribed only at $U_0(0) = u_0$.

$$\succ$$
 $u(t) = U(t, t/\varepsilon).$

Freedom to choose $U_0(\tau)$ such that: $\partial_t^k U(t,\tau) = O(1), \ \varepsilon \to 0$, for k = 0, 1, 2, 3...

Chapman-Enskog expansion:

$$U(t,\tau) = \underline{U}(t) + h(t,\tau), \quad t \ge 0, \ \tau \in \mathbb{T}$$

$$\underbrace{U}(t) := \Pi U(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U(t,\tau) d\tau$$
• macro-part, $O(1)$
• independent of τ

$$h(t,\tau) := U(t,\tau) - \underline{U}(t)$$
• micro-part, $o(1)$
• zero average

The two-scale equation decompose to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \underline{U} = \Pi \left(\mathcal{F}(t, \tau, \underline{U} + h) \right), \\ \partial_t h + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Lh = (I - \Pi) \left(\mathcal{F}(t, \tau, \underline{U} + h) \right). \end{cases}$$

Lh := $\partial_\tau h$. L has inverse: $L^{-1}h = (I - \Pi) \int_0^\tau h(t, \theta) d\theta$

Two-scale method for oscillatory equations

- > $\underline{U}(t)$: independent of ε ;
- > look for asymptotic orders for $h(t, \tau)$

$$\begin{split} h &= \varepsilon A \left(\mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}+h) \right) - \varepsilon L^{-1}(\partial_t h), \\ \partial_t h &= \varepsilon A \left[\partial_t \mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}+h) + \partial_U \mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}+h) (\partial_t \underline{U} + \partial_t h) \right] \\ &- \varepsilon L^{-1}(\partial_t^2 h). \end{split}$$

$$A:=L^{-1}(I-\Pi).$$

- Assume $\partial_t h = O(1) \Rightarrow h = O(\varepsilon)$
- Assume $\partial_t^2 h = O(1) \Rightarrow \partial_t h = O(\varepsilon)$ & 1st order expansion:

 $h(t,\tau) = h_1(t,\tau,\underline{U}) + O(\varepsilon^2)$, with $h_1(t,\tau,U) := \varepsilon A(\mathcal{F}(t,\tau,U))$.

• Further assume $\partial_t^3 h = O(1) \Rightarrow 2$ nd order expansion:

$$h(t,\tau) = \varepsilon A \left(\mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}+h_1) \right) - \varepsilon^2 A^2 \partial_t \mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}) - \varepsilon^2 A^2 \partial_U \mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}) \Pi \left(\mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}) \right) + O(\varepsilon^3)$$

$$U(0,\tau) = \underline{U}(0) + h(0,\tau)$$

<u>U(0)</u> known via:

$$u_0 = U(0,0) = \underline{U}(0) + h(0,0)$$

 $\Rightarrow U(0,\tau) = u_0 + h(0,\tau) - h(0,0)$

- 1st order expansion of $h \Rightarrow 1$ st order initial data
- 2nd order expansion of $h \Rightarrow$ 2nd order initial data

Rigorous result (*Chartier, Crouseilles, Lemou and Méhats, 2015*): Under 2nd (or 1st) order initial data, $\partial_t^k U(t,\tau) = O(1)$ for k = 0, 1, 2, 3 (or k = 0, 1, 2) for all $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. Numerical methods for the two-scale equation:

$$\partial_t U(t,\tau) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_\tau U(t,\tau) = F(t,\tau,U(t,\tau))$$

In τ : Fourier spectral
In τ : Fourier spectral
In t: finite difference (FD)
• semi-implicit to avoid stability issue
• need $\partial_t^{n+1} U = O(1)$ for n-th order accuracy.
A 2nd order scheme (*Chartier etc. 2015*): $\begin{cases} \frac{U^{n+1/2}(\tau) - U^n(\tau)}{\Delta t/2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_\tau U^{n+1/2}(\tau) = \mathcal{F}(t_n, \tau, U^n(\tau)), \\ \frac{U^{n+1}(\tau) - U^n(\tau)}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \partial_\tau (U^{n+1}(\tau) + U^n(\tau)) = \mathcal{F}(t_{n+1/2}, \tau, U^{n+1/2}(\tau)). \end{cases}$

- UA 2nd (or 1st) order FD method obtained under the 2nd (or 1st) order initial data (*Chartier etc. 2015*).
- In t (alternatively): exponential integrator (EI)
 - need $\partial_t^n U = O(1)$ for *n*-th order accuracy.
 - UA 2nd (or 1st) order El method under the 1st (or 0th) order initial data (*details later*)

2D beam model:

$$\partial_t f + \frac{v}{\varepsilon} \partial_r f + \left(E - \frac{r}{\varepsilon} + a\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) r \right) \partial_v f = 0, \quad t > 0, \ r, v \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\partial_r (rE) = r \int_{\mathbb{R}} f dv, \quad t > 0, \ r \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$f(0, r, v) = f_0(r, v), \quad r, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

► $a(\cdot)$: given & 2π -periodic ► E(t, r): self-consistent electrical field ► filter out main oscillation: $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} := e^{-Jt/\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t/\varepsilon) & -\sin(t/\varepsilon) \\ \sin(t/\varepsilon) & \cos(t/\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ v \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $g(t, \xi) := f(t, \cos(t/\varepsilon)\xi_1 + \sin(t/\varepsilon)\xi_2, -\sin(t/\varepsilon)\xi_1 + \cos(t/\varepsilon)\xi_2)$

Two-scale method: application

Beam model in rotating frame:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g(t,\xi) + \mathcal{E}(t,t/\varepsilon,\xi) \left[-\sin\left(t/\varepsilon\right)\partial_{\xi_1}g(t,\xi) + \cos\left(t/\varepsilon\right)\partial_{\xi_2}g(t,\xi)\right] = 0\\ g(0,\xi) = f_0(\xi_1,\xi_2). \end{cases}$$
$$\mathcal{E}(t,\tau,\xi) := \frac{1}{r(\tau,\xi)} \int_0^{r(\tau,\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} sg\left(t,\cos(\tau)s - \sin(\tau)v,\sin(\tau)s + \cos(\tau)v\right) dvds\end{cases}$$

$$+ a(\tau)[\cos(\tau)\xi_1 + \sin(\tau)\xi_2],$$

$$r(\tau,\xi) := \cos(\tau)\xi_1 + \sin(\tau)\xi_2.$$

Characteristics

$$\dot{\xi}_1(t) = -\sin(t/\varepsilon) \Xi(t, t/\varepsilon, \xi),$$

 $\dot{\xi}_2(t) = \cos(t/\varepsilon) \Xi(t, t/\varepsilon, \xi).$

Straightforward for the two-scale method: UA semi-Lagrangian! 1

¹M. Lemou, F. Méhats, N. Crouseilles, X. Zhao, Uniformly accurate forward semi-Lagrangian methods for highly oscillatory Vlasov-Poisson equations, hal-01286947, preprint 2016.

Consider the Vlasov-Poisson equation:

$$\begin{split} \partial_t f + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{v}^{\perp} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f &= 0, \quad t > 0, \ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad -\Delta \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} - 1, \\ f(0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) &= f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in [0, T]. \end{split}$$

where $f = f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}), \ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2), \ \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2), \ v^{\perp} = (v_2, -v_1).$

- 4D drift-kinetic regime
- > Strong magnetic field and long-time effect: $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.
- > Main oscillation due to $\partial_t f + 1/\varepsilon^2 v^{\perp} \cdot \nabla_v f$
- > f has wavelength in time $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$

Theoretical wise: As ε → 0, f(t, x, v) two-scale convergence to g(t, x, r(v, τ)) (Frenód and Sonnerdrücker, 2000)

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t g(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})+\mathbf{E}^{\perp}\cdot
abla_{\mathbf{x}}g-rac{1}{2}\left(
abla\cdot\mathbf{E}
ight)\mathbf{v}^{\perp}\cdot
abla_{\mathbf{v}}g=0, \ t>0, \ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2, \ &-
abla\cdot\mathbf{E}(t,\mathbf{x})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}g(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{v}-1, \ &g(0,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})=f_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{v},\tau)=\mathrm{e}^{- au J}\mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Further implies Guiding Center equation:

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t
ho(t,\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{E}^\perp \cdot
abla_{\mathbf{x}}
ho &= 0, \quad t > 0, \; \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ &-
abla \cdot \mathbf{E}(t,\mathbf{x}) =
ho(t,\mathbf{x}) - 1, \ &
ho(0,\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(0,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Numerical wise: multiscale numerical schemes

- Exponential integrator (*Frénod*, *Hirstoaga*, *Lutz and Sonnendrücker*, 2015): a limit solver
- AP semi-implicit Runge-Kutta (Filbet and Rodrigues 2016)

Aim: construct a numerical scheme which

- ▶ is free from the constraint $\Delta t = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^p), p > 0$
- ▶ deals with all regimes: $\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and intermediate, with same cost and the same precision (uniform accuracy).

Particle-in-Cell method: $f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$ is sampled by

$$f_p(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \omega_k \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k(t)) \delta(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_k(t)), \quad t \ge 0, \ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where the position $\mathbf{x}_k(t)$ and velocities $\mathbf{v}_k(t)$ obeys

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{k}(t) &= \frac{\mathbf{v}_{k}(t)}{\varepsilon}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{k}(t) &= \frac{\mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{x}_{k}(t))}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{k}^{\perp}(t)}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{k}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_{k,0}, \quad \mathbf{v}_{k}(0) = \mathbf{v}_{k,0}. \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{E} = abla_x \phi$ is determined from $(\mathbf{x}_k(t))_k$ at position \mathbf{x} by

$$-\Delta\phi(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{p}} \omega_{k}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{k}(t)) - 1.$$

In practice, $\delta\leftrightarrow\varphi$ for example by the B-spline function

- > Self-consistent electrical field is oscillatory: $\mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{E}(t, t/\varepsilon^2, \mathbf{x}).$
- Start to reformulate the characteristic to use the two-scale method.

First step: Filtering main oscillation

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = e^{-tJ/\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{v}(t)$$
, with $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $e^{sJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & \sin s \\ -\sin s & \cos s \end{pmatrix}$

Then the characteristic becomes

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{tJ/\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{y}(t), \\ \dot{\mathbf{y}}(t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{-tJ/\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{E}(t, t/\varepsilon^2, \mathbf{x}(t)), \\ \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{x}_0, \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0. \end{split}$$

with $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t, t/\varepsilon^2, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \omega_k \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k(t)) - 1.$

One possible way: the generalised two-scale method in diffusion scaling²: $X(t,\tau)$ and $Y(t,\tau)$ for $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$X(t, au = t/arepsilon^2) = \mathbf{x}_k(t), \ \ Y(t, au = t/arepsilon^2) = \mathbf{y}(t).$$

X and Y satisfies

$$\partial_{t}X(t,\tau) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\partial_{\tau}X(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{\tau J}Y(t,\tau),$$

$$\partial_{t}Y(t,\tau) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\partial_{\tau}Y(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{-\tau J}\mathcal{E}(t,\tau,X(t,\tau)),$$

$$X(0,0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}, Y(0,0) = \mathbf{y}_{0},$$

where the electric field is given by

$$abla_{ imes} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t, au, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \omega_k \delta(\mathbf{x} - X_k(t, au)) - 1.$$

²M. Lemou, F. Méhats, X. Zhao, Uniformly accurate numerical schemes for the nonlinear Dirac equation in the nonrelativistic limit regime, arXiv:1605.02475[math.NA], preprint 2016.

Come back to the (diffusion scaling) two-scale problem

$$\partial_{t}X(t,\tau) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\partial_{\tau}X(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{\tau J}Y(t,\tau),$$

$$\partial_{t}Y(t,\tau) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\partial_{\tau}Y(t,\tau) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{-\tau J}\mathcal{E}(t,\tau,X(t,\tau)),$$

$$X(0,0) = \mathbf{x}_{0}, Y(0,0) = \mathbf{y}_{0},$$

$$\nabla_{x} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t,\tau,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{p}}\omega_{k}\delta(\mathbf{x} - X_{k}(t,\tau)).$$

- Could overcome temporal oscillation.
- > However, \mathcal{E} can not be obtained exactly!
- Spatial error and particle error are amplified by 1/ε which is even worse!!
- > Seek for more transformation.

Then, we introduce two new unknown functions $U_{\pm}(t,\tau)$:

$$U_+(t, au) := 2\left(X(t, au) + \varepsilon J \mathrm{e}^{ au J} Y(t, au)
ight), \quad U_-(t, au) := -2 \varepsilon J Y(t, au),$$

which satisfy

$$\partial_t U_{\pm} + rac{1}{arepsilon^2} \partial_ au U_{\pm} = \mathcal{F}_{\pm}(t, au, U_+, U_-),$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_+(t,\tau,U_+,U_-) &= 2J\mathcal{E}\left(t,\tau,\frac{1}{2}\left(U_+ + \mathrm{e}^{\tau J}U_-\right)\right), \\ \mathcal{F}_-(t,\tau,U_+,U_-) &= -2J\mathrm{e}^{-\tau J}\mathcal{E}\left(t,\tau,\frac{1}{2}\left(U_+ + \mathrm{e}^{\tau J}U_-\right)\right), \end{split}$$

since

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \left(U_+ + \mathrm{e}^{\tau J} U_- \right), \qquad Y = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} J U_-.$$

Write the reformulated problem for (U_+, U_-) as

$$\partial_t U + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_\tau U - \mathcal{F}(t, \tau, U) = 0,$$

$$U(t = 0, \tau = 0) = \mathbf{u}_0 = (2(\mathbf{x}_0 + \varepsilon J \mathbf{y}_0), -2\varepsilon J \mathbf{y}_0).$$

Then decompose $U(t,\tau) = \underline{U}(t) + \mathbf{h}(t,\tau)$, with $\underline{U}(t) = \Pi U(t,\cdot)$ Use first order the expansion derived before

$$U(t,\tau) = \underline{U}(t) + \varepsilon^2 L^{-1}(I - \Pi) \mathcal{F}(t,\tau,\underline{U}(t)) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4). \quad (*)$$

 $\mathcal{F} \propto \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t, \tau, X)$ where $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{U}](t, \tau, X)$ is computed via

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t,\tau,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \omega_k \delta\left(\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}(U_+(t,\tau) + \mathrm{e}^{\tau J}U_-(t,\tau))_k\right) - 1.$$

Expansion (*) is implicit. Need to approximate explicitly \mathcal{E} .

To do so, from the relation between \underline{U}_{\pm} and X, we have

$$X = X^{1st} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \text{ with } X^{1st}(t,\tau) := rac{1}{2} \left[\underline{U}_+(t) + e^{\tau J} \underline{U}_-(t)
ight].$$

Then, one can compute $\mathcal{E}^{1st} = \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ as

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathcal{E}^{1st}(t,\tau,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{p}} \omega_{k} \delta(\mathbf{x} - X_{k}^{1st}(t,\tau)) - 1,$$

Finally,

$$\mathbf{u}_0 =: U(0, \tau = 0) = \underline{U}(0) + \varepsilon^2 h(\tau = 0),$$

and we deduce

$$U(0, \tau = 0) = \mathbf{u}_0 + \varepsilon^2 (h(\tau) - h(0)),$$
 (**)
where $h(\tau) = L^{-1} (I - \Pi) \mathcal{F}^{1st}(0, \tau, \mathbf{u}_0).$

Proposition (formal)

$$\partial_t U + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_\tau U - \mathcal{F}(t, \tau, U) = 0,$$

 $U(0, \tau)$ given by (**)

Then we have (for a fixed $t \in [0, T]$)

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in]0,1]} \|\partial_t^k U^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}_{\tau}} \le C_1, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

 $\sup_{\varepsilon\in]0,1]} \|d_t^{\kappa} \mathcal{F}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty_\tau} \leq C_2, \qquad k=0,1,2.$

Numerical scheme-exponential integrator

 τ being periodic, we consider the Fourier transform in τ to get

$$\widehat{U}_\ell'(t)+rac{i\ell}{arepsilon^2}\widehat{U}_\ell(t)=\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t).$$

Integrating between t_n and t_{n+1} , we have

$$egin{aligned} \widehat{U}_\ell(t_{n+1}) &= \mathrm{e}^{-rac{i\ell\Delta t}{arepsilon^2}} \widehat{U}_\ell(t_n) + \int_0^{\Delta t} \mathrm{e}^{-rac{i\ell}{arepsilon^2}(\Delta t-s)} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t_n+s) ds \ &pprox \mathrm{e}^{-rac{i\ell\Delta t}{arepsilon^2}} \widehat{U}_\ell(t_n) + \int_0^{\Delta t} \mathrm{e}^{-rac{i\ell}{arepsilon^2}(\Delta t-s)} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t_n) + s rac{d}{dt} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t_n)
ight) ds \ &pprox \mathrm{e}^{-rac{i\ell\Delta t}{arepsilon^2}} \widehat{U}_\ell(t_n) + p_\ell \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t_n) + q_\ell rac{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t_n) - \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\ell(t_{n-1})}{\Delta t}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$p_{\ell} := \int_0^{\Delta t} e^{-\frac{i\ell}{\varepsilon^2}(\Delta t - s)} ds, \quad q_{\ell} := \int_0^{\Delta t} e^{-\frac{i\ell}{\varepsilon^2}(\Delta t - s)} s ds.$$

Proposition (formal)

Let consider the solution $U^n(\tau)$ of the following semi-discretized scheme

$$\widehat{U}_{\ell}^{n+1} = e^{-rac{i\ell\Delta t}{arepsilon^2}} \widehat{U}_{\ell}^n + p_{\ell}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\ell}^n + q_{\ell}rac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\ell}^n - \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\ell}^{n-1}
ight),$$

 \widehat{U}_{ℓ}^0 well-prepared

Then we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in]0,1]} \|U^n - U(t^n)\|_{L^\infty_\tau} \leq C\Delta t^2.$$

 $\forall n \leq N, N\Delta t = T.$

The simple choice $U_0(\tau) = \mathbf{u}_0$ will not work: indeed, one has $\partial_t^2 U^{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon)$ which means that the numerical scheme behaves well for $\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and ε very small, but not for intermediate regimes.

Algorithm

> Initialization of $\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{v}_k$ from f_0 .

 \blacktriangleright Compute the "well-prepared" initial data for $U_{\pm}(0, au)$

Time loop $n \rightarrow n+1$

$$\succ X_k^n(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} (U_+^n(\tau) + e^{\tau J} U_-^n(\tau))_k$$

> Solve the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathcal{E}(t_n, \tau, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_p} \omega_k \delta(\mathbf{x} - X_k^n(\tau))$$

> Advance
$$(U_{\pm}^{n+1})_k$$

From $(U^n_{\pm})_k$, one can reconstruct the original solution

$$\mathbf{x}_k^n = X_k^n(\tau = t_n/\varepsilon^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[U_+^n(\tau = t_n/\varepsilon^2) + e^{Jt_n/\varepsilon^2} U_-^n(\tau = t_n/\varepsilon^2) \right]_k,$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k^n = Y_k^n(\tau = t_n/\varepsilon^2) = \frac{J}{2\varepsilon} e^{Jt_n/\varepsilon^2} U_-^n(\tau = t_n/\varepsilon^2)$$

Let consider the following initial condition (Kelvin-Helmholtz instability)

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(1 + \sin(x_2) + \eta \cos(kx_1) \right) e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2}},$$

on a computational domain for **x** as $\Omega = [0, 2\pi/k] \times [0, 2\pi]$ for k = 0.5 and $\eta = 0.05$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Numerical parameters: 64 points in x_1 -direction and 32 points in x_2 -direction; the fifth order B-spline; $N_{\tau} = 32$ and $N_p = 204800$.

Uniform Accuracy

$$ho(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$



Figure: Temporal error at t = 1 in ρ with respect to Δt and ε : results of (**) (1st row); results of \mathbf{u}_0 (2nd row).

Uniform Accuracy

$$ho_{\mathbf{v},1}(t,\mathbf{x}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(|\mathbf{v}_1|+|\mathbf{v}_2|)f(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{v},\
ho_{\mathbf{v},2}(t,\mathbf{x}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\mathbf{v}|^2f(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})d\mathbf{v}$$



Figure: Temporal error under (**): in $\rho_{v,1}$ (1st row); in $\rho_{v,2}$ (2nd row).

Uniform Accuracy





Figure: Energy error $|H(t_n) - H(0)|$.

Convergence of the Vlasov-Poisson to the limit model as $\varepsilon \to 0:$ in ρ and $\rho_{{\bf v},2}$



Figure: Maximum error in ρ (left) and in $\rho_{v,2}$ (right).

Dynamics



Figure: Contour plot of quantity $\rho(t, \mathbf{x}) - 1$ at different t with $\varepsilon = 0.005$.

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(1 + \sin(x_2) + \eta \cos(kx_1) \right) \left(e^{-\frac{(v_1+2)^2 + v_2^2}{2}} + e^{-\frac{(v_1-2)^2 + v_2^2}{2}} \right)$$

with $\eta = 0.05, k = 0.5, N_p = 409600, N_\tau = 16.$
 $\chi(t, \mathbf{v}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x}$

Numerical results: non-isotropic initial condition



Figure: Contour plot of quantity $\chi(t, \mathbf{v})$ at different t with $\varepsilon = 0.005$.

 general method to construct uniformly accurate schemes for highly-oscillatory problems and application to strongly magnetized plasmas in a diffusion scaling

not based on computations of averaged models

Perspectives

- Systematical comparison with other methods: limit solvers and AP schemes
- Extension to more general oscillations
- > get rid of the variable au